

THE EQUIVALENCE OF THEOREM PROVING AND THE  
INTERCONNECTION PROBLEM

James F. Lynch  
Department of Mathematics  
University of Colorado  
Boulder, Colorado 80302

and  
Storage Technology Corporation  
Louisville, Colorado 80027

ABSTRACT

A simple method of converting statements in mathematical logic to equivalent interconnection problems is demonstrated. This shows that if there exists a practical method for solving interconnection problems, then there exists a practical proof procedure for statements in mathematical logic. (In the terminology of Cook [1] and Karp [4], the interconnection problem is NP-complete.) Since such a procedure has been sought for without success for almost 100 years, we should not expect the interconnection problem to be any easier. This holds equally true for finding a method which will route a given percentage of wires.

I. INTRODUCTION

We shall phrase the interconnection problem in graph theoretic terminology.  $G = \langle N, E \rangle$  will represent an undirected graph with node set  $N$  and edge set  $E$ . Individual edges will be denoted by two element sets giving the nodes they connect.

The graph theoretic model of the interconnection problem is as follows. Given a graph  $G = \langle N, E \rangle$  and disjoint subsets  $S_1, \dots, S_k$  of  $N$ , find disjoint connected subsets  $T_1, \dots, T_k$  of  $N$  such that  $S_i \subseteq T_i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, k$ . Here  $G$  represents the circuit board with  $N$  the set of possible endpoints of wires on the board and  $E$  the set of allowable pathways between points. The sets  $S_1, \dots, S_k$  are the endpoints of nets 1 through  $k$  respectively, and the sets  $T_1, \dots, T_k$  are the points in a routing of nets 1 through  $k$ .

This formalization of the interconnection problem will be related to statements in the propositional calculus, i.e., boolean formulas of variables. A basic problem with such formulas is determining whether a given formula is satisfiable, i.e., whether there exists an assignment of True or False (1 or 0) to the variables such that the formula is True (takes on the value 1) for that assignment.

The problem of finding a practical procedure for de-

termining satisfiability of a formula in propositional calculus (or the dual problem of proving a formula is a tautology) has been studied as far back as Frege [2] in the 1870's, and it appears to be unsolvable. We shall show how, given a formula in propositional calculus, it can be recoded into an interconnection problem such that the formula is satisfiable if and only if the interconnection problem is solvable. Thus, if there were a practical method for solving the interconnection problem, there would be a practical method for solving the satisfiability problem. This should cast serious doubt on the existence of a good interconnection algorithm, even, as we shall see, one which merely routes a given percentage of the wires.

## II. ENCODING FORMULAS INTO GRAPHS

We begin by showing how to encode a formula into an interconnection problem on a graph.

Theorem I. Given a formula  $\sigma$  of the propositional calculus, there is a graph with an interconnection problem which is solvable if and only if  $\sigma$  is satisfiable.

Proof. By a result of Cook [1]  $\sigma$  can easily be converted to conjunctive normal form (CNF); thus we may assume  $\sigma = C_1 \wedge \dots \wedge C_m$ , and for  $i=1, \dots, m$   $C_i = w_{i1} \vee \dots \vee w_{in_i}$  where each  $w_{ij}$  is a literal, i.e., a

variable or the negation of a variable. The remainder of the proof shows how to convert  $\sigma$ , given that it is in CNF, into an interconnection problem.

We construct  $G = \langle N, E \rangle$  as follows. Let  $\sigma$  be as above, with  $v_1, \dots, v_n$  the distinct variables occurring in  $\sigma$ . (Refer to Figure 1.)  $N$  will consist partly of the following nodes: nodes  $C_i$  and  $C'_i$  for each clause  $C_i$ , and nodes  $v_j$  and  $v'_j$  for each variable  $v_j$ . There will be exactly two paths between each  $v_j$  and  $v'_j$ , called the high and low paths. The remaining nodes will be on these paths.

Now consider each clause  $C_i$  and the variables occurring in it. If  $v_j$  is a literal in  $C_i$  (occurring without the negation sign), place the node  $n_{ij1}$  on the low path from  $v_j$  to  $v'_j$ , add it to  $N$ , and add the edges  $\{C_i, n_{ij1}\}$  and  $\{C'_i, n_{ij1}\}$  to  $E$ . If  $\bar{v}_j$  (negation of  $v_j$ ) is in  $C_i$ , place  $n_{ij0}$  on the high path from  $v_j$  to  $v'_j$ , add it to  $N$ , and add  $\{C_i, n_{ij0}\}, \{C'_i, n_{ij0}\}$  to  $E$ . Finally, add edges to  $E$  to explicitly form all the high and low paths.

We must now specify the subsets  $S_i$  of  $N$  which are to be connected.

$$S_i = \{C_i, C'_i\} \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, m \text{ and}$$

$$S_{m+j} = \{v_j, v'_j\} \text{ for } j = 1, \dots, n.$$

Assuming  $\sigma$  is satisfiable, we must show the existence of  $T_1, \dots, T_{m+n}$ . Let  $f: \{v_1, \dots, v_n\} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$  be the satisfying assignment of the variables. For  $j = 1, \dots, n$  let  $T_{m+j}$  be the high path between  $v_j$  and  $v'_j$  if  $f(v_j) = 1$ , and let it be the low path if  $f(v_j) = 0$ .

To construct  $T_i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, m$  note that since  $\sigma$  is satisfied by  $f, C_i$  contains some literal which takes on the value 1, i.e., at least one of the following cases holds:

- (1) for some  $j, v_j$  occurs as a literal in  $C_i$   
and  $f(v_j) = 1$
- (2) for some  $j, \bar{v}_j$  occurs as a literal in  $C_i$   
and  $f(v_j) = 0$

If case (1) holds, let  $T_i = \{C_i, n_{ij}, C'_i\}$ . Then  $T_i \cap T_{m+j} = \emptyset$  since  $T_{m+j}$  is the high path and  $n_{ij}$  is on the low path. By similar reasoning, if case (2) holds, let  $T_i = \{C_i, n_{ij}, C'_i\}$ .

By the construction of  $G$ , all the  $T_i$ 's are connected and pairwise disjoint, and  $S_i \subseteq T_i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, m+n$ . Thus the existence of a satisfying assignment for  $\sigma$  implies the existence of  $T_1, \dots, T_{m+n}$  with the desired properties.

Conversely, given  $T_1, \dots, T_{m+n}$  define

$$f(v_j) = 1 \text{ if } T_{m+j} \text{ is the high path}$$

$= 0$  if  $T_{m+j}$  is the low path  
for  $j = 1, \dots, n$ .

Then it is easy to see that (1) or (2) above holds for each clause  $C_i$ . Therefore  $\sigma$  is satisfiable. This completes the proof.

It is interesting to note that the graph  $G$  of the theorem can be embedded in a two layer circuit board where each layer is a rectangular grid. Thus, it is conceivable that there are circuit boards in existence that "prove" fairly nontrivial theorems in the propositional calculus.

### III. FURTHER RESULTS

It is even possible that there are one layer circuit boards that are encodings of difficult problems in mathematical logic. This is contained in the following theorem.

Theorem II. Given a formula  $\sigma$  of the propositional calculus, there is an interconnection problem on a planar graph which is solvable if and only if  $\sigma$  is satisfiable.

Proof. To avoid tedious details we proceed informally, using the example of Figure 1. Basically we will show how to remove nonplanar parts of the graph

while retaining the property that the graph is routable if and only if the formula  $\sigma$  is satisfiable.

One type of nonplanarity is illustrated in Figure 1, where a path from  $C_1$  to  $C'_1$  crosses over the high and low paths from  $v_1$  to  $v'_1$ .

Figure 2 shows how the nonplanarity is removed.

The set  $S_1 = \{v_1, v'_1\}$  is also replaced by the sets  $\{v_1, x_1\}$ ,  $\{x_2, x_3\}$ , and  $\{x_4, v'_1\}$ . These additional nodes and edges permit a connection to be made from  $C_1$  to  $C'_1$  while insuring that the same type of path (high or low) is taken at  $v_1$  and  $v'_1$ .

The other type of nonplanarity is also illustrated in Figure 1, where a path from  $C_1$  to  $C'_1$  intersects the high path from  $v_2$  to  $v'_2$  but crosses over the low path. This may be removed in a manner very similar to the method described above.

When all nonplanarities have been removed, we have a planar graph  $G'$  which is routable if and only if  $G$  is satisfiable, thus completing the informal proof.


Again, note that  $G'$  is embeddable in a rectangular grid.

We have shown that completely routing a circuit board is a very difficult problem, but one may still believe that routing only a certain number or percentage

of the connections might be much easier. This is not the case, however. Garey, Johnson, and Stockmeyer [3] have shown that the problem of satisfying a given number of clauses in a formula in CNF is essentially the same problem as satisfying all the clauses, i.e., satisfying the formula. A slight modification of our proof carries the result over to the interconnection problem, showing that routing a given percentage of the wires is still very difficult.

#### REFERENCES

1. Cook, S.A. "The Complexity of Theorem Proving Procedures." Proceedings of the Third Annual ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing, 1971, pp. 151-158.
2. Frege, G. Begriffsschrift, eine der Arithmetischen Nachgebildete Formelsprache des Reinen Denkens, Halle, 1879.
3. Garey, M.R., Johnson, D.S. and Stockmeyer, L. "Some Simplified NP-Complete Problems." Proceedings of the Sixth Annual ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing, 1974, pp.47-63.
4. Karp, R.M. "Reducibility Among Combinatorial Problems." Complexity of Computer Computations, Miller and Thatcher, eds., Plenum Press, 1972, pp.85-104.



$$\sigma = C_1 C_2 C_3'$$

satisfying assignment

$$C_1 = \bar{v}_2 v \bar{v}_3$$

$$f(v_1) = 1$$

$$C_2 = v_1 v v_2 v \bar{v}_3$$

$$f(v_2) = 0$$

$$C_3 = \bar{v}_1 v v_2 v v_3$$

$$f(v_3) = 1$$

Figure 1.

Example of a formula  $\sigma$  and its encoding as a graph.

The heavy lines connect the sets  $T_1, \dots, T_6$  resulting from the satisfying assignment.




Figure 2.

Removal of nonplanarity from Figure 1. The heavy lines show the high path taken at  $v_1$  and  $v_1'$  and the path between  $C_1$  and  $C_1'$ .