Galvanic Deposition of Nanoporous Si onto 6061 Al Alloy from Aqueous HF
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We report galvanic deposition of Si onto 6061 Al alloy from dilute aqueous hydrofluoric acid (HF) at pH 2.5. The overall reaction involves reduction of $\text{SiF}_6^{2-}$ to Si with simultaneous oxidation and dissolution of Al. The Si film is about 12 $\mu$m thick after 6 h of deposition. High resolution scanning electron microscopy shows that these Si films are nanoporous, with pore sizes ranging from 3 to 8 nm. The nanoporous Si films oxidize rapidly upon sample emersion. Elemental analysis by energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy demonstrates that the as-deposited film contains 1–3 atom % Al, 3–6 atom % Cu, and 90–95 atom % Si. We believe that this is the first report of electrochemical deposition of Si thin films that does not involve organic solvents or molten salt electrolytes.
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While the market for photovoltaic cells is currently dominated by thick film Si solar cells, thin film crystalline, polycrystalline, and amorphous Si solar cells have also been intensively investigated. Optical absorption in Si solar cells occurs mainly within the top micrometer of Si, so the rest of the Si wafer in thick film solar cells merely provides mechanical support. While thick film Si solar cells can directly employ Si wafer technology and materials from integrated circuit manufacturing, thin film Si solar cells provide obvious long-term cost advantages. In addition to photovoltaic applications, Si thin films are of interest for silicon-on-sapphire complementary metal oxide semiconductor technology, for anode materials in Li ion batteries, and for corrosion-resistant coatings.

Si thin films are typically deposited by expensive vacuum methods such as chemical vapor deposition and plasma-enhanced chemical vapor deposition. In addition, Si thin films are typically deposited from silane, which is both highly pyrophoric and moisture sensitive. Electrochemical methods for depositing thin film solar cell materials are highly advantageous due to their low cost, scalability to large surface areas, and manufacturability. However, Si is a highly active metal, so the standard reduction potential of SiO$_2$ (−0.90 V vs normal hydrogen electrode (NHE)) is more cathodic than the standard reduction potential of water (−0.83 V vs NHE), making electrochemical deposition of Si from aqueous electrolytes notoriously difficult.

Si electrodeposition from molten salts at elevated temperatures (∼750°C) has a long history. However, Si electrodeposition at room temperature has only relatively recently been achieved from organic solvents and from room temperature ionic liquids. Here we report galvanic deposition of nanoporous Si onto Al from solutions of dilute aqueous hydrofluoric acid (HF) at pH 2.5, with 12 $\mu$m thick Si films grown after 6 h. Energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDX) measurements show that the as-deposited film contains mainly Si, Cu, and Al.

Experimental

Semiconductor grade 10 wt % HF and concentrated HNO$_3$ were obtained from J. T. Baker, Na$_2$SiF$_6$ was obtained from Sigma-Aldrich, and 6061 Al alloy was obtained from McMaster Carr. For Al electrochemistry, all measurements were performed using a three-electrode setup with a 12 mm diameter 6061 Al alloy working electrode rotated at 850 rpm with a rotating disc electrode, Pt spiral counter electrode, and a reference SCE. A 6061 Al alloy typically contains 0.8–1.2 wt % Mg, 0.4–0.8 wt % Si, ≤0.70 wt % Mg, 0.15–0.40 wt % Cu, 0.04–0.35 wt % Cr, and smaller amounts of Mn, Ti, and Zn. For some of the galvanic deposition experiments, 99.99% pure Al was purchased from ESPI Metals. For Si electrochemistry, B-doped (2 × 10$^{19}$ cm$^{-3}$) degenerate Si(100) wafers with a resistivity of 0.001–0.005 Ω cm were purchased from University Wafer. The electrical connection to the Si wafer’s back side was made using a Ga–In eutectic.

Voltammetry experiments were controlled with an EG&G PAR model 273A potentiostat/galvanostat. Impedance measurements were made by coupling this potentiostat with a Solartron 1250B frequency response analyzer over the frequency range 0.01 Hz–10 kHz, using an ac probe voltage of 8 mV. The Si film thickness was measured with a JEOL model 7400F field emission scanning electron microscope at both 45 and 90°.

Results and Discussion

Figure 1 illustrates a voltammogram of the Al working electrode rotated at 850 rpm in 10 mM HF + 1 mM HNO$_3$ (pH 2.5). An addition of 20 mM Na$_2$SiF$_6$ to this electrolyte had no discernable effect on the voltammetry results. Figure 1 illustrates that this electrolyte is quite corrosive to Al, with anodic currents from Al oxidation and dissolution observed at all potentials anodic to ~1000 mV vs SCE. This is the reason why such a high scan rate (50 mV/s) was employed. Immersion of the Al working electrode rotated at 850 rpm without potential control into 10 mM HF, 1 mM HNO$_3$, and 20 mM Na$_2$SiF$_6$ for 6 h results in the growth of a Si film about 12 $\mu$m thick. The open circuit potential was measured during Si deposition and varied between ~700 and ~900 mV vs SCE, as shown in Fig. 2. The as-grown film is dark gray in solution but changes color to light gray after exposure to laboratory air for 1 h and then to white upon overnight exposure.

Figures 3 and 4 present scanning electron microscopy (SEM) images following growth of a 12 $\mu$m Si film as described above. Figure 3 illustrates the 12 $\mu$m Si film (middle) atop the Al substrate (bottom) and appears to show a compact Si film. However, the higher resolution image in Fig. 4 shows that the Si deposit contains nanoscale porosity, with pore sizes ranging from 3 to 8 nm. Other methods that have been reported for room temperature Si electrodeposition similarly yield porous Si films, as discussed elsewhere. X-ray diffraction studies of our galvanic Si films, both immediately after deposition and after overnight ambient exposure, show no diffraction peaks, indicating that our deposits are amorphous.

The Si deposit is grown atop Al by galvanic deposition, otherwise known as immersion plating, where a more noble metal is reduced and deposited onto a less noble substrate that is simultaneously oxidized and dissolved. The cathodic (1), anodic (2), and overall (3) reactions for galvanic deposition of Si onto Al are
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\[
\text{SiF}_6^{2-} + 4e^- \rightarrow \text{Si} + 6\text{F}^- \quad E^\circ = -1.37 \text{ V vs NHE} \quad (1)
\]

\[
\text{Al} + 6\text{F}^- \rightarrow \text{AlF}_6^{3-} + 3e^- \quad E^\circ = +2.07 \text{ V vs NHE} \quad (2)
\]

\[
3\text{SiF}_6^{2-} + 4\text{Al} + 6\text{F}^- \rightarrow 3\text{Si} + 4\text{AlF}_6^{3-} \quad E^\circ = +0.70 \text{ V} \quad (3)
\]

Reaction 2 is written as an oxidation reaction, so the potential given is the opposite of the standard reduction potential. The standard potential given for the overall reaction 3 is positive, indicating a spontaneous reaction. Although reactions 1-3 are most obvious, one cannot rule out the possibility of intermediate oxidation states of Si and Al being involved. Reactions similar to those above have been widely reported for galvanic deposition of a variety of metals onto Si from aqueous HF solutions, as recently reviewed. Such processes were originally studied to understand contamination of Si wafers during wet cleaning with HF but have also been proposed for a variety of technologies involving fabrication of metal nanostructures and thin films.

However, such methods are not limited to Si substrates, because our laboratory has reported galvanic deposition of Cu onto Ta from aqueous HF. The present research extends such methods to Al substrates and to deposition of Si films. The current method for galvanic deposition of Si may be limited to a few highly active metal substrates, such as Al, that are anodic to Si in HF. Fortunately, Al is the substrate onto which amorphous Si is typically deposited within thin film solar cells. The current process is also quite similar to the double zincate process for galvanic deposition of Zn onto Al, as will be described in more detail below.

The EDX spectrum in Fig. 5 illustrates that the deposit contains Si, Al, Cu, and O. The significant O peak in the EDX spectrum is believed to arise from sample transfer through air, as has been previously observed by other research groups. Counting only the heavy elements, EDX analyses of several Si deposits yield the following range of compositions: 1–3 atom % Al, 3–6 atom % Cu, and 90–95 atom % Si. Thus, the Si films contain significant Al, which probably cannot be avoided, because Al oxidation, dissolution, and transport through the growing Si film is part of the galvanic Si deposition process described above.

The Si films also contain significant Cu, which probably arises from the 6061 Al alloy itself, not from the solution phase, for the following reasons. First, galvanic Si deposition onto 99.99% pure Al results in a Si deposit that is removed by convective forces associated with electrode rotation at 850 rpm, resulting in Si flakes at the bottom of the cell. EDX analysis of these Si flakes does not show significant Cu incorporation. Second, atomic absorption spectrosc-
Al-doped Si film from solutions with low F− concentrations employed for HF cleaning of Si. Here we report growth of a heavily doped Si film is deposited under conditions quite different from those employed for HF cleaning of Si. We suggest that the Si film is anchored to Cu atoms within the 6061 Al alloy as Al dissolves from within the alloy.

The mechanism of galvanic Si deposition may be similar to that of galvanic Zn deposition onto Al, which is widely used for double-zincate treatment of Al alloys to prepare the surface for subsequent electrodeposition or electroless deposition. 

Cu pretreatment has been employed to improve Zn nucleation during the double zincate treatment with Al alloy content. These results can be compared to the detailed impedance study of Searson and Zhang of Si in HF at conditions close to those reported by comparison. 

By contrast, HF cleaning of Si wafers involves much higher concentration to both Al and Si, so Cu should either not dissolve or immediately redeposit in the galvanic deposition bath. We suggest that the Si film is anchored to Cu atoms within the 6061 Al alloy as Al dissolves from within the alloy.

Figure 5. (Color online) EDX results after 6 h of Si deposition from 10 mM HF, 1 mM HNO₃, and 20 mM Na₂SiF₆.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element</th>
<th>Net Counts</th>
<th>Weight %</th>
<th>Weight % Error</th>
<th>Atom %</th>
<th>Atom % Error</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>O K</td>
<td>7074</td>
<td>47.86</td>
<td>+/- 0.60</td>
<td>62.86</td>
<td>+/- 0.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al K</td>
<td>351</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>+/- 0.08</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>+/- 0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Si K</td>
<td>25588</td>
<td>46.93</td>
<td>+/- 0.34</td>
<td>35.11</td>
<td>+/- 0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu K</td>
<td>235</td>
<td>4.55</td>
<td>+/- 0.62</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>+/- 0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 6. Nyquist plot illustrating the impedance results for degenerate Si at −800 mV vs SCE in 10 mM HF + 1 mM HNO₃.
ported here. They distinguish between different surface conditions partly by the magnitude of the measured capacitance, which is expected to be in the nF cm$^{-2}$, μF cm$^{-2}$, and mF cm$^{-2}$ range for a space charge layer, Helmholtz layer, and oxide layer, respectively. Thus, a best-fit capacitance value in the μF cm$^{-2}$ range is considered as evidence of a Helmholtz layer in potential regimes and at dopant conditions where the surface is not oxidized and no space charge layer forms. The results in Table I are consistent with this criterion, supporting our assertion that Si oxide does not form in the solutions studied here.

In addition, Pearson and Zhang observe that the charge transfer resistance ($R_{ct}$) increases from 10$^3$ to 10$^5$ Ω cm$^2$ at potentials where Si dissolution occurs from 10$^4$ to 10$^5$ Ω cm$^2$ at two cathodic potentials, such as those studied here, where the surface is likely H-terminated. The charge transfer resistance observed here (7.5 × 10$^3$ Ω cm$^2$) is consistent with the results of Pearson and Zhang. These results are consistent with the general observation that H-terminated Si is much more stable and unreactive than O-terminated Si, yielding somewhat higher $R_{ct}$ at more cathodic potentials. The galvanic Si thin films deposited here can be compared with Si thin films electrodeposited at room temperature from organic solvents and room temperature from ionic liquids (RTILs). This is complicated by the wide variation in reported results between different research groups, which makes comparisons difficult. However, it has been suggested that compact Si film electrodeposition from organic solvents is limited to deposits ≤250 nm thick. In addition, it has been suggested that Si films electrodeposited from organic solvents are always porous and oxidize rapidly upon ambient exposure. Si thin films electrodeposited from RTILs onto flat substrates appear to have been limited to date to a thickness of several hundred nanometers. Regardless of the veracity of these generalizations, thick and compact Si films have not been grown to date by room temperature electrodeposition. In addition, many of the reported Si films are contaminated by impurity elements originating from the solvent. The galvanic Si films reported here suffer from many of these same issues. The primary advantages of the current method include its simplicity, the modest cost and toxicity of the reagents employed, and the ability to grow thick 12 μm Si films.

Conclusions

Galvanic deposition of Si onto 6061 Al alloy occurs from solutions containing 10 mM HF, 1 mM HNO$_3$, and 20 mM Na$_2$SiF$_6$. Si films containing 12 μm thick are formed after 6 h of deposition. High resolution SEM indicates that the Si films are nanoporous, with pore sizes ranging from 3 to 8 nm. For this reason, the Si film appears to oxidize rapidly upon sample emersion. However, in situ measurements by EIS on degenerate Si yield capacitance and charge transfer resistance ($R_{ct}$) values consistent with an H-terminated Si surface rather than an oxidized surface. This is consistent with previously reported studies of Si in dilute HF by infrared spectroscopy, confirming that Si is not oxidized in situ. Elemental analysis by EDX demonstrate that the as-deposited film contains 1–3 atom % Al, 3–6 atom % Cu, and 90–95 atom % Si.